

This is likely to be the last time the Commission sees these maps before they go to public hearing. There is quite a bit to do before going to public hearing, including processing an Environmental Checklist, re-soliciting comments from all city departments and the county, and speaking with appropriate State Agencies, including the Department of Health, which manages potable water supply in the City. Staff anticipates the public hearing to take place after the start of the new year, with presentation to City Council by spring.

Answered questions regarding the possible R1 to the east of the intersection of Old Moscow Road and Sunshine Road; the definition of an R3 zone; Mr. Kopf's presentation and zoning requests; the airport runway redirection, that it would be moving slightly west and counter-clockwise, and the proposed IC to the north of that land.

DISCUSSION

Anderson expressed concern about development of High Density Residential (HDR) north of Old Moscow Road.

Bergstedt referred to the continual reduction in HDR areas on the map and opined that the HDR area near Old Moscow Road is appropriate given its proximity to WSU.

The Commission reached a general consensus to leave the HDR in the southeast corner of the map, above Old Moscow Road and south of Highway 270.

The Commission suggested that the triangular piece of land in the northwest portion of the map, bound by HDR to the west, WSU to the south, and the proposed North Bypass to the north and east, be modified to HDR with an R4 zone.

The Commission also suggested an IC designation at the intersection of Old Wawawai Road and Highway 195, extending to the proposed western boundary of the UGA, and using the existing commercial development on the northeast corner of that intersection to define the boundary to the east.

In the southeast portion of the map labeled Attachment "C", the Commission reached consensus to extend the east boundary of the R3 to the proposed south boundary of the R2 area, and modify all land east of that line to R1.

REGULAR BUSINESS

Conduct a discussion regarding the Commission's public hearing procedures.

Dickinson stated this was a follow-up to the Commission's October 27 meeting, the "Rules of Procedures for Quasi-Judicial Public Hearings Before the Pullman Planning Commission" (Quasi-Judicial Rules) has been modified, with a legislative draft showing revisions provided in their packet, and a draft "Rules of Procedures for Legislative Public Hearings Before the Pullman Planning Commission" (Legislative Rules) also provided.

DISCUSSION

Commissioners discussed the modifications to the Quasi-Judicial Rules.

Bergstedt stated that she is most concerned about the presentation time being fair for both sides, and questioned whether rule 5, with general time limits for per side, or rule 7, with specific time limits for speakers, would overrule. Also questions who would be the timekeeper and opined it should not be a Commissioner. Gibney opined that a timekeeper should not be Pete. Anderson stated that, from past experience, it is not difficult to keep time with the right equipment, i.e. a kitchen timer or stopwatch. Paulson feels that a clock would be a distraction. Bergstedt and Gibney opined that, especially in a quasi-judicial hearing, the Commission needs to be equally fair to both sides, but should provide room for all to speak. Crow agreed with the idea of a 30-minutes per side maximum, but said if the Commission gives each speaker more than five minutes, they start to ramble. Anderson opined that the Commission should establish rules and stick with them, and expressed concern about making the rules flexible without first checking with the City Attorney about such flexibility. Garl expressed the desire to move into a tighter schedule to be considerate of everyone's time.

Dickinson answered a question about timekeeping at City Council meetings and stated that the limit is three minutes per speaker and that it rarely varies.

DIRECTION

Commissioners asked that staff:

- Move proposed Rule 6 to be Rule 1, and move proposed Rule 1 to be Rule 11.
- Modify the beginning of new Rule 11 from "Each member" to "All members".
- Add the terminology, "Planning Commission reserves the right to modify these time limits in the event that numerous individuals express an interest in speaking."

The Commission reached a consensus to adopt the Quasi-Judicial Rules, as modified, with a review in fall 2011.

DISCUSSION

Commissioners discussed the proposed Legislative Rules.

Bergstedt expressed the desire to have a rule limiting presentation time, similar to Rule 5 in the Quasi-Judicial Rules, for consistency. Garl and Anderson expressed concern about limiting presentation time in a Legislative hearing because the topics can be so general. Commissioners asked for clarification regarding the speaker roster. Garl suggested utilizing the language from the Quasi-Judicial Rules, but excluding the last sentence of that paragraph.

The Commission reached a consensus to adopt the Quasi-Judicial Rules, as modified, with a review before summer 2011.

Wendle excused himself from the meeting at 8:36 pm.

UPCOMING MEETINGS

Dickinson stated that it is unlikely there will be a meeting in December, but if there were it would be a Special Meeting on December 15, not the Regular Meeting of December 22. Bergstedt stated she would be unavailable.

January 26, 2011, Regular Meeting. Gibney and Paulson stated they would possibly be unavailable.

February 23, 2011, Regular Meeting. No Commissioners in attendance expressed any concerns.

ADJOURNMENT

Crow moved to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Gibney and passed unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 8:46 pm.

ATTEST:

Chair

Planning Director

Secretary